

TARGET Instant Payment Settlement

Overview of the SDD drafting for ESMIG^{TIPS} and CRDM^{TIPS}

TIPS Contact Group #7

Summary

1. Status of the CRDM^{TIPS} UDFS drafting
2. Status of the CRDM^{TIPS} UHB drafting
3. Status of the ESMIG^{TIPS} UDFS drafting

Status of the CRDM^{TIPS} UDFS drafting

Part 1 - CRDM^{TIPS} UDFS – v.0.9.0

- The version v.0.9.0 of the UDFS contains
 - Full scope of the documents : Chapters 1, 2, 3 and 4 (Annex)
 - Answers to customer feedback

Part 1 - CRDM^{TIPS} UDFS – v.0.9.0

Chapter 1 – General features of CRDM

- Introduction to CRDM
- Access to CRDM
- Reference Data Model
- CRDM features
- Interactions with other Services
- Operations and Support
- Limitations of the system

Chapter 2 – Dialogue between CRDM and CRDM Actors

- Data Management Tool file upload

Part 1 - CRDM^{TIPS} UDFS – v.0.9.0

Chapter 3 – Data Management tool

- Introduction
- Technical specification
- Technical specification of the Excel file
- Technical specification of the flat file
- Format of structured files
- Format of “enriched files”

Chapter 4 – Appendices

- Business rules

Overview of the market feedback on the CRDM^{TIPS} UDFS

- **53** comments have been assessed, out of the written consultation concerning the 3rd draft of the UDFS.
- All comments have been taken into account and arranged under five different categories:

Category	Actions
Accepted	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Request to change the UDFS (e.g. typos, inconsistencies, errors, further details, etc.).• The comment was included in the 3rd UDFS draft.
Clarification	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No changes in the UDFS document.• Additional explanation provided while answering the comment.
Rejected	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Request cannot be accepted (e.g. request contradicting the User Requirements Document).
Not Applicable	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Comment related to a section of the UDFS not yet drafted.• Comment not related to the scope of UDFS.
To be clarified by the requestor	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No changes in the UDFS document.• Additional information is required by the requestor.

Overview of the market feedback on the CRDM^{TIPS} UDFS

Overall figures

Category	Figures	Percentage
Accepted	16	30,19%
Clarification	35	66,03%
Rejected	1	1,89%
Not applicable	0	0,00%
To be clarified by the requestor	1	1,89%
Total	53	100%

Status of the CRDM^{TIPS} UHB drafting

Part 2 - CRDM^{TIPS} UHB – v.0.9.0

- The version v.0.9.0 of the UHB contains
 - Full scope of the documents : Chapters 1, 2 3 and 4 (Annex)
 - Answers to customer feedback

Part 2 - CRDM^{TIPS} UHB – v.0.9.0

Chapter 1 - Introduction

- Overview of the User Handbook
- Overview of the Graphical User Interface

Chapter 2 – Screen Reference Part

- General
- Services
 - Parties
 - Cash Account
 - Access Rights
 - Message and Reports
 - Restriction Type Management
 - Network Configuration
 - Revisions

Part 2 - CRDM^{TIPS} UHB – v.0.9.0

Chapter 3 – User Instruction part

- General
- Access Rights
- Rule Sets
- Party Management
- Reports
- Revisions
- Account Management
- Technical Addresses

Chapter 4 - Annex

- Sitemap
- List of Privileges
- List of References for Error Messages

Overview of the market feedback on the CRDM^{TIPS} UHB

- **50** comments have been assessed, out of the written consultation concerning the 4th draft of the UHB.
- All comments have been taken into account and arranged under five different categories:

Category	Actions
Accepted	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Request to change the UHB (e.g. typos, inconsistencies, errors, further details, etc.).• The comment was included in the 4th UHB draft.
Clarification	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No changes in the UHB document.• Additional explanation provided while answering the comment.
Rejected	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Request cannot be accepted (e.g. request contradicting the User Requirements Document).
Not Applicable	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Comment related to a section of the UHB not yet drafted.• Comment not related to the scope of UHB.
To be clarified by the requestor	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No changes in the UHB document.• Additional information is required by the requestor.

Overview of the market feedback on the CRDM^{TIPS} UHB

Overall figures

Category	Figures	Percentage
Accepted	27	54,00%
Clarification	22	44,00%
Rejected	0	0,00%
Not applicable	0	0,00%
To be clarified by the requestor	1	2,00%
Total	50	100%

Status of the ESMIG^{TIPS} UDFS drafting

Part 3 – ESMIG^{TIPS} UDFS – v.0.9.0

- The version v.0.9.0 of the ESMIG^{TIPS} UDFS contains
 - Full scope of the document: Chapter 1
 - Answers to customer feedback

Part 3 - ESMIG^{TIPS} UDFS – v.0.9.0

Chapter 1 – General features of ESMIG

- ESMIG features overview
- Access to ESMIG
- Possible actions of Operator Service Desk
- ESMIG data exchange information
- Communication processing
- Index of figures
- Index of tables
- List of acronyms
- List of referenced documents

Overview of the market feedback on the ESMIG^{TIPS} UDFS

- **77** comments have been assessed, out of the written consultation concerning the 2nd draft of the UDFS.
- All comments have been taken into account and arranged under five different categories:

Category	Actions
Accepted	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Request to change the UDFS (e.g. typos, inconsistencies, errors, further details, etc.).• The comment was included in the 2nd UDFS draft.
Clarification	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No changes in the UDFS document.• Additional explanation provided while answering the comment.
Rejected	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Request cannot be accepted (e.g. request contradicting the User Requirements Document).
Not Applicable	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Comment related to a section of the UDFS not yet drafted.• Comment not related to the scope of UDFS.
To be clarified by the requestor	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No changes in the UDFS document.• Additional information is required by the requestor.

Overview of the market feedback on the ESMIG^{TIPS} UDFS

Overall figures

Category	Figures	Percentage
Accepted	48	62,34%
Clarification	28	36,36%
Rejected	1	1,30%
Not applicable	0	0,00%
To be clarified by the requestor	0	0,00%
Total	77	100%