The main elements of BRRD

Pär Torstensson

Bond Market Contact Group meeting
Tuesday 9 July Frankfurt

Any opinions expressed here are personal and do not involve the European Central Bank or the Eurosystem.

The BRRD

A range of instruments for three phases

- Preparatory and preventive (e.g. recovery plans)
- Early intervention (e.g. Special managers)
- Resolution:
 - ✓ The sale of business tool
 - ✓ Bridge institution tool
 - ✓ Asset separation tool (only in conjunction with other tools)
 - ✓ Bail-in tool (write-down or conversion into equity)

Bail-in tool

Statutory tool for all liabilities, except:

- Covered deposits (but DGS contribute 'as if' they were bailed in)
- Secured liabilities (but not under-collateralised parts)
- Liabilities to employees, or that are arising from commercial claims relating to good and services critical for the operation
- Liabilities arising from payment systems and interbank liabilities which have a remaining maturity of less than 7 days
- To enter into force 4 years after the BRRD:
 - I January 2019, at the latest.

Bail-in tool - flexibility

- Resolution authority have power to exclude liabilities on a discretionary basis:
 - They cannot be bailed in within a reasonable timeframe
 - To ensure continuity of critical functions
 - To avoid contagion
 - To avoid destruction of value that would increase the losses for the other creditors
- The resolution fund can, in exceptional cases, absorb losses or recap an institution, but only:
 - After a minimum of 8% of total liabilities have been bailed in (or 20% of RWA, if the RF have ≥3% funding)
 - This funding is capped at 5% of total liabilities, until all liabilities apart from eligible deposits have been used

MREL for bail-in and RF

- Resolution authority to determine for each institution a minimum requirement of own funds and eligible liabilities (e.g. > I year maturity):
 - As % of total liabilities (excl. deriv.) and own funds
 - Based on size, risk and business model
 - A review in 2016 by EC, based on recommendations from EBA to harmonise MREL
- The resolution fund should be 0.8% of covered deposits within 10 years:
 - Fees based on liabilities, excluding own funds and adjusted for risk
 - Freedom to choose not to set up a fund (if fees are paid and available upon request) or to merge with DGS

DGS and dep. preference

DGS always steps in and protect insured depositors

 By taking the loss, haircut etcetera that insured depositor would have been subjected to according to the ranking of their claims.

Introduction of depositor preference:

- Eligible deposits from natural persons and micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (and EIB) would have preference over other senior unsecured creditors
- Insured deposits would have preference over eligible deposits from natural persons and micro, small and medium-sized enterprises

Questions

- Impact on cost of senior unsecured debt?
- What level of MREL?
- Bail-in at 2018, or is it priced in already?